Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 197

$(Replaces\ Prior\ Cumulative\ Table)$

Longbottom v. Longbottom	64
Dissolution of marriage; motion to modify educational support; motion to open	
judgment; claim that trial court failed to determine whether plaintiff had estab-	
lished probable cause of fraud by nondisclosure; claim that trial court abused	
its discretion in denying plaintiff's motions to open and to modify; claim that	
trial court failed to properly understand defendant's financial information.	
Manson v. Conklin.	51
Negligence; claim that trial court improperly precluded admission of findings and conclusions in police department's internal affairs reports that defendant police	
officer had engaged in misconduct and was dishonest; whether findings and conclusions in reports constituted extrinsic evidence and, therefore, were inadmissible pursuant to Weaver v. McKnight, (313 Conn. 393); claim that trial court	
improperly submitted issue of governmental immunity to jury.	
Petrucelli v. Meriden	1
Zoning; municipal blight citation; anti-blight ordinance; claim that trial court	
abused its discretion in precluding testimony of witnesses; claim that trial court	
erred in concluding that respondent city did not violate petitioner's due process rights; claim that trial court erred in concluding that the anti-blight ordinance	
was not unconstitutionally vaque; claim that trial court erred in concluding that	
there was sufficient evidence demonstrating noncompliance with anti-blight	
ordinance.	
Purtill v. Cook	22
Summary process; motion to open judgment of default; stay of execution; automatic	22
stay; mootness; standing; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to open judgment; claim that trial court improperly dismissed claim of exemption from eviction.	
State v. Nusser	76
Larceny in first degree; burglary in third degree; criminal violation of restraining	••
order; subject matter jurisdiction; motion for presentence confinement credit; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion for presentence confinement credit; claim that defendant's sentence was illegal	
because it breached plea agreement with state; claim that failure of Department of Correction to implement trial court's revised mittimus resulted in structural	
error and fundamental unfairness in sentencing process; whether trial court	
lacked subject matter jurisdiction to hear defendant's motion for presentence	
confinement credit.	
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Mamudi	31
Foreclosure; claim that law days were automatically vacated as result of petition	
for bankruptcy; claim that foreclosure defendants were deprived of right to appeal	
concerning law days; whether trial court should have rendered judgment dismiss-	
ing rather than denying motion to reargue.	